

1
2
3
4 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
5 **SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
6

7 JOEL M. CRAM, MINH D. NGUYEN, and
8 ROES 1 through 10, individually, and on
9 behalf of all others similarly situated,

10 Plaintiffs,

11 vs.

12 ELECTRONIC DATA SYSTEMS
13 CORPORATION, a Delaware
14 corporation, and DOES 1 through 100,
15 inclusive,

16 Defendants.

CASE NO. 07cv1842-LAB (NLS)


**ORDER CONTINUING MOTION
HEARING AND REQUIRING
AMENDED *PRO HAC VICE*
APPLICATIONS**

[Dkt No. 3]

17 In consideration of the Order granting plaintiffs' *Ex Parte* Application for leave to
18 conduct expedited discovery on a dispositive jurisdictional issue associated with their Motion
19 For Remand, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** the hearing of the Motion For Remand is continued
20 from November 13, 2007 to **December 3, 2007 at 10:30 a.m.**, with the briefing schedule
21 correspondingly continued. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** defense counsel from Cleveland,
22 Ohio shall resubmit their *pro hac vice* Applications with the signature of designated local
23 counsel and, pursuant to Civil Local. Rule 83.3(c)(6), the designated local counsel shall be
24 an attorney admitted to practice in this court who maintains an office in the Southern District
25 of California.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: October 11, 2007

26 
27 **HONORABLE LARRY ALAN BURNS**
28 United States District Judge